

National Judicial Academy

P-1270: Orientation Course for Newly Elevated High Court Justices

27th – 28th November, 2021

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman and Ms. Nitika Jain, Faculty

No. of Participants : 33

No. of forms received : 03

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	66.67	33.33	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	33.33	66.67	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	66.67	33.33	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	66.67	33.33	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	66.67	33.33	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	66.67	33.33	-	-
c. Up to date	100.00	-	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	100.00	-	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	-	100.00	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	100.00	-	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	66.67	33.33	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	66.67	33.33		
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	66.67	33.33	-	-
(iv) Interactive sessions were fruitful	66.67	33.33		
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	100.00	-	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	66.67	33.33	100.00	-
2	66.67	33.33	100.00	-
3	66.67	33.33	100.00	-
4	66.67	33.33	100.00	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	100.00	-	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current	100.00	-	-	-

thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	100.00	-	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. The speakers were highly experienced; 2. Topics were very useful; 3. Timings of the sessions were also suitable.</p> <p>2. Better understanding about writ jurisdiction; 2. Institutional limitations of Judiciary in reviewing the legislative action; 3. Responsibility of High court with regard to its powers while dealing with cases of writ pertaining to constitutional rights.</p> <p>3. 1. Writ jurisdiction in the dynamic and constantly changing social paradigms; 2. Judicial review of Adm. Actions; 3. Alternate remedies whether bar to writ jurisdiction.</p>			
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Entire programme was very useful.</p> <p>2. Application of writ jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. <i>Session 1: Writ jurisdiction: varieties and scope-</i> since as a judge of the high court we will be constantly coming across varieties of writ petitions where the writ jurisdiction under Art. 226 has to be exercised.</p>			
3. Does the programme need further modulations or change	<p>1. No.</p> <p>2. Nil.</p> <p>3. The programme was conducted in a very friendly atmosphere. It was very constructive and educative. Everything was fine.</p>			
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>1. No.</p> <p>2. Nil.</p> <p>3. Since the resource persons will be dealing with very vast subjects. The programme can be in there sessions each session having 1½ hour each. It the discussion time for the participant can be increased it will be beneficial to all the participants.</p>			